TRAVERSABILITY INDEX:
A NEW CONCEPT FOR PLANETARY ROVERS

Homayoun Seraji
Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, CA 91109, USA

Abstract

Traversability Index is introduced in this paper as a new and simple measure for traversability of planetary surfaces by mobile robots. This index is developed using the framework of fuzzy logic, and is expressed by linguistic fuzzy sets that quantify the suitability of the terrain for traverse based on its physical properties, such as slope and roughness. The Traversability Index is used for classifying planetary surfaces, and provides a simple means for incorporating the terrain quality data (out to about 30 meters) in the rover navigation strategy. A set of fuzzy navigation rules is developed using the Traversability Index to guide the rover toward the safest and the most traversable terrain. In addition, another set of fuzzy rules is developed to drive the rover from its initial position and orientation to a user-specified goal configuration. These two rule sets are integrated in a two-layer architecture for autonomous rover navigation without a priori knowledge about the environment. A computer simulation study is presented to demonstrate the capability of the rover to reach the goal safely while avoiding impassable terrains.

1 Introduction

Although considerable research has been conducted on mobile robots in recent years, the bulk of this research is focused on in-door robots operating in highly-structured, man-made environments. Typically, the environment consists of a flat, smooth, horizontal floor on which the robot moves. *Planetary* mobile robots, on the other hand, must traverse harsh *natural* terrains that are uneven, rough, and have slopes. These physical properties of the terrain add a new dimension to the complexity of the robot navigation problem. The photograph of the Martian terrain shown in Figure 1 indicates that each region of the terrain offers a different traversability characteristics to the Sojourner rover.

In this paper, a new concept called *Traversability Index* is introduced for the first time for mobile robots (rovers) operating on planetary terrains. This index is expressed by linguistic fuzzy sets that represent the suitability of the terrain for traverse based on its physical properties, such as slope and roughness. The index also gives a basis for classifying planetary surfaces according to their ease of traverse, ranging from "highly untraversable" to "highly traversable" terrains. Using the Traversability Index, a set of fuzzy navigation rules is developed to guide the rover toward the safest and the most traversable terrain. This rule set is integrated with fuzzy rules for goal seeking to obtain an autonomous navigation strategy for a mobile robot that requires no *a priori* knowledge about the environment.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, the Traversability Index is defined using the fuzzy logic framework. A set of fuzzy navigation rules based on this index is presented in Section 3. Section 4 discusses fuzzy logic rules for the rover goal seeking. The integration of the terrain traversing and goal seeking fuzzy rule sets is described in Section 5. An illustrative example is presented in Section 6 for proof-of-concept and demonstartion. The paper is concluded in Section 7 with a brief review and future plans.

2 Traversability Index for Planetary Rovers

This section establishes *Traversability Index* as a new and simple measure for traversability of planetary surfaces by mobile robots. This index is developed using the framework of fuzzy logic, which has been used extensively for navigation of mobile robots [see, e.g., 1-11]. The Traversability Index τ is expressed by linguistic fuzzy sets quantifying how traversable a particular terrain is for a given rover. Several options are available for defining the Traversability Index as a function of the terrain physical properties. In this paper, the Traversability Index τ is defined as a fuzzy function of two physical variables: the terrain slope α and the terrain roughness β, where α and β are both expressed by linguistic fuzzy sets as described below.

2.1 Terrain Slope α

The terrain slope α can be measured by a vision or a laser system mounted on the rover [12]. The slope α is represented by the four linguistic fuzzy sets { LOW, MEDIUM, HIGH, VERY HIGH }. The membership functions of these sets are shown in Figure 2a, where the abscissa α is the magnitude of the terrain slope and the ordinate $\mu(\alpha)$ is the degree-of-membership. Note that the slope can be either a positive quantity representing a mound or a hill, or a negative quantity representing a crater or a downward surface. Observe that exact measurement of the terrain slope is *not* needed using the fuzzy logic framework.

2.2 Terrain Roughness β

The terrain roughness β can also be measured using an on-board vision or laser system [12]. The roughness β is dependent on two variables: the rock sizes and the rock concentration (density) on the terrain. Let δ denote the "average" rock size ($\approx$ height $\times$ mean area) on the terrain. Then δ can be represented by the two linguistic fuzzy sets { SMALL, LARGE }. Similarly, the rock concentration on the terrain is denoted by ω, and is represented by the two linguistic fuzzy sets { LOW, HIGH }. Then, the terrain roughness β can be expresssed as a fuzzy function of the rock size δ and the rock concentration ω. Let β be represented by the four linguistic fuzzy sets { SMOOTH, BUMPY, ROUGH, ROCKY }, where the membership functions are shown in Figure 2b. The dependence of β on δ and ω can then be expressed intuitively by a set of four simple fuzzy rules summarized in Table 1. Notice that exact measurements of the average rock size δ and the rock concentration ω are *not* needed, because of the fuzzy nature of the rule set.

2.3 Traversability Index τ

The Traversability Index τ is a fuzzy function of the slope α and the roughness β of the terrain. In the framework of fuzzy logic, the *Cartesian product* is used to represent fuzzy functional relations [13]. Let $A = \{A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4\}$ and $B = \{B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4\}$ represent, respectively, the fuzzy sets defined on the input variables α and β. The Cartesian product of these input fuzzy sets is the output fuzzy set $T = A \times B$ with the membership function defined by $\mu(\tau) = \mu(\alpha) * \mu(\beta)$, where $*$ denotes the fuzzy "and" operation and T is the fuzzy set of the output variable τ. The Traversability Index τ is represented by the four linguistic fuzzy sets $T = \{$ POOR, LOW, MEDIUM, HIGH $\}$, with the membership functions shown in Figure 2c. In the context of the Traversibilty Index τ, the Cartesian product functional relation can be represented by a set of sixteen simple fuzzy rules summarized in Table 2. Based on these rules, it is seen that the Traversability Index of the terrain τ is defined to be POOR when the terrain slope α is VERY HIGH or the terrain roughness β is ROCKY (see fourth row and column in Table 2). This implies that terrains with very high slope or with rocky surfaces are considered to be untraversable and must be avoided. When these two extreme cases are excluded, the Traversability Index τ has a fuzzy value between POOR and HIGH depending on the slope and roughness of the terrain (see rows 1-3 and columns 1-3 in Table 2). Notice that the Traversability Index varies with the size, drive mechanism, and rock climbing capability of the rover, and therefore the above definitions apply to a particular rover with a given mechanical design.

The fuzzy logic process for computation of the Traversability Index τ consists of three stages. The crisp values of the terrain slope α and the terrain roughness β measured by the on-board sensors are initially passed through the "fuzzification" stage to

find the degrees-of-membership in their corresponding fuzzy sets. This data is then used to evaluate the Traversability Index based on the fuzzy rules given in Table 2. This stage, which is referred to as "inference" in fuzzy logic, produces the activation levels or strengths of the rules that are "fired" using the max-min method [13]. This information is then passed to the "defuzzification" stage where the crisp value of the Traversability Index τ is computed using the centroid method [13]. Note that the fuzzy logic framework used for computation of τ only requires reasonable estimates of the terrain quality data α and β obtainable from inexpensive sensors that are expected to be inaccurate. This method does *not* need expensive precision sensors that also require extensive processing of sensory data for accurate interpretations.

2.4   Terrain Classification Based on τ

The Traversability Index provides a basis for classifying planetary surfaces according to their ease of traverse. Using the fuzzy linguistic description of the Traversability Index τ, different planetary surfaces can be classified into four categories based on their value of τ. The four linguistic fuzzy sets for τ can be interpretted as follows:

- POOR τ → HIGHLY-UNTRAVERSABLE TERRAIN.

- LOW τ → UNTRAVERSABLE TERRAIN.

- MEDIUM τ → TRAVERSABLE TERRAIN.

- HIGH τ → HIGHLY-TRAVERSABLE TERRAIN.

3   Navigation Rules Based on Traversability Index

In this section, the Traversability Index defined in Section 2 is used to develop simple rules for determination of the rover steering and speed on a planetary surface. In other words, the Traversatility Index is used to navigate the rover toward the safest and the most traversable terrain. This index provides a simple means for incorporating the terrain quality data (out to about 30 meters) in the rover navigation strategy. The rover is navigated by executing a velocity change vector ΔV command [1]. This command can be decomposed as $\Delta V = v \angle \Delta \theta$, where v is the *speed* and $\angle \Delta \theta$ is the *steering angle change* of the rover. We shall now discuss the fuzzy rules for determination of the rover steering angle change and the rover speed based on the Traversability Index.

3.1   Steering Rules

The steering angle of the rover can be determined based on the value of the Traversability Index of the terrain in different directions. In the present analysis, we assume that the terrain traversability data is available in five directions, namely: front, front-right, right, front-left, and left of the rover at a distance r from the rover, where r defines the radius of the sensing envelope and is typically 10-30 meters [12]. The "front" refers to the direction the rover is heading at present, "right" and "left" directions are at ±90° relative to the rover heading, and "front-right" and "front-left" directions are at ±45° relative to the heading. Therefore, at any instant, five crisp Traversability Indices are computed for the five possible navigation directions described above, namely: τ_f, τ_{fr}, τ_r, τ_{fl}, and τ_l. At this stage, the on-board software compares these five quantities and selects the one with the highest value τ_{max}, that is, the most traversable direction is chosen. When the situation has a non-unique solution, i.e., there are more than one direction with the highest τ, then the one which is closest to the current direction of traverse is chosen so that unnecessray rotations are avoided. The five steering angle change rules are as follows:

- IF $\tau_{max} = \tau_l$, THEN $\Delta \theta$ is HARD-LEFT.

- IF $\tau_{max} = \tau_{fl}$, THEN $\Delta \theta$ is LEFT.

- IF $\tau_{max} = \tau_f$, THEN $\Delta \theta$ is ON-COURSE.

- IF $\tau_{max} = \tau_{fr}$, THEN $\Delta \theta$ is RIGHT.

- IF $\tau_{max} = \tau_r$, THEN $\Delta \theta$ is HARD-RIGHT.

where { HARD-LEFT, LEFT, ON-COURSE, RIGHT, HARD-RIGHT } represent the five linguistic fuzzy sets of the rover steering angle change $\Delta \theta$, with the membership functions shown in Figure 3a.

---

[1]The ΔV terminology is commonly used for spacecraft navigation and control.

3.2 Speed Rules

Once the direction of traverse is chosen based on the relative values of τ, the rover speed v can be determined based on the value of the Traversability Index τ in the chosen direction. This determination is formulated as a set of four simple fuzzy rules for speed of traverse as follows:

- IF τ is POOR, THEN v is STOP.

- IF τ is LOW, THEN v is SLOW.

- IF τ is MEDIUM, THEN v is MODERATE.

- IF τ is HIGH, THEN v is FAST.

where τ is the Traversability Index for the selected direction, and {STOP, SLOW, MODERATE, FAST } represent the four linguistic fuzzy sets associated with the rover speed v, with the membership functions shown in Figure 3b.

4 Fuzzy Rules for Goal Seeking

In this section, we present fuzzy rules for navigation of the rover from its current position and orientation to the desired goal configuration. Two sets of rules are developed for the rover speed v and the rover steering angle change $\Delta\theta$. The basic idea behind the navigation rules is that the rover tries to: (1) approach the goal with a speed proportional to the distance between the current position and the goal position, defined as the "position error" d, (2) rotate toward the goal configuration by nullifying the "heading error" ϕ which is the angle by which the rover needs to turn to face the goal directly, i.e., ϕ is the difference between the current heading and the goal heading of the rover. The final orientation of the rover will be aligned with the desired orientation by in-place rotation after the rover reaches the desired goal, that is, $d = 0$.

We shall now present the fuzzy navigation rules for goal seeking in the following subsections.

4.1 Steering Rules

The rover steering angle change $\Delta\theta$ depends on the heading error ϕ, where the angles are defined to be positive in the clockwise direction. The heading error ϕ has the linguistic fuzzy sets { GOAL-V.LEFT, GOAL-LEFT, HEAD-ON, GOAL-RIGHT, GOAL-V.RIGHT }, with the membership functions depicted in Figure 4a. The fuzzy rules for the rover steering are as follows:

- IF ϕ is GOAL-V.LEFT, THEN $\Delta\theta$ is HARD-LEFT.

- IF ϕ is GOAL-LEFT, THEN $\Delta\theta$ is LEFT.

- IF ϕ is HEAD-ON, THEN $\Delta\theta$ is ON-COURSE.

- IF ϕ is GOAL-RIGHT, THEN $\Delta\theta$ is RIGHT.

- IF ϕ is GOAL-V.RIGHT, THEN $\Delta\theta$ is HARD-RIGHT.

It is seen that the rover steering angle change $\Delta\theta$ is only a function of the heading error ϕ, and is independent of the rover speed v.

4.2 Speed Rules

The rover speed v is the output variable generated by the input variable d, the position error. The goal distance or position error d has the linguistic fuzzy sets { CLOSE, NEAR, FAR, VERY FAR }, with the membership functions depicted in Figure 4b. The fuzzy rules for the rover speed are as follows:

- IF d is CLOSE, THEN v is STOP.

- IF d is NEAR, THEN v is SLOW.

- IF d is FAR, THEN v is MODERATE.

- IF d is VERY FAR, THEN v is FAST.

It is seen that the rover speed v is only a function of the goal distance or position error d, and is independent of the heading error ϕ.

5 Integration of Traverse and Seek Functions

In the preceding two sections, fuzzy rule sets are given for the two *independent* functions of terrain traversing and goal seeking. The rule set for each function is concerned solely with achieving its particular objectives, disregarding the constraints imposed by the other function. In this section, we discuss the integration of these two functions to obtain

an autonomous navigation strategy for the rover. A two-layer architecture is proposed for autonomous rover navigation without *a priori* knowledge about the environment. In the higher layer, the traverse-terrain and seek-goal rule sets make their individual, independent recommendations for rover speed and steering angle commands. In the lower layer, these recommendations are arbitrated by using appropriate fuzzy weight rules to generate the combined, coordinated recommendation for the rover navigation based on the rover status.

Consider the rover navigation architecture shown in the block diagram of Figure 5. Each of the two functions, traverse-terrain and seek-goal, generates independent recommendations for v and $\Delta\theta$ based on its own objectives. These recommendations $\{v^t, \Delta\theta^t\}$ and $\{v^s, \Delta\theta^s\}$ are then "weighted" by the weighting factors t_w and s_w assigned to the outputs of the traverse-terrain and seek-goal functions, respectively. These factors represent the strenghts by which the traverse-terrain and seek-goal recommendations are taken into account. The recommendations v and $\Delta\theta$ of the traverse-terrain and seek-goal rules are multiplied by the weighting factors t_w and s_w, respectively, *before* the defuzzification stage which produces the final recommendation $\{\bar{v}, \Delta\bar{\theta}\}$. The weighting factors t_w and s_w are represented by the linguistic fuzzy sets {NOMINAL, HIGH }, whose triangular membership functions have the central values of 1 and 10, respectively. Within this context, the traverse and seek weighting factors are assumed to have the fuzzy NOMINAL value except in the following extreme cases:

- IF τ is POOR OR τ is LOW, THEN t_w is HIGH.

- IF d is CLOSE, THEN s_w is HIGH.

The first rule implies that when the terrain is not easily traversable by the rover, the recommendation of the traverse-terrain rule set is assigned a HIGH weighting factor with the central value 10 relative to the seek-goal recommendation which has the NOMINAL weighting factor with the central value 1. The second rule suggests that when the goal position is reached, the seek-goal recommendation takes on the HIGH weighting factor relative to the NOMINAL weighting factor for the traverse-terrain recommendation. Excluding these two extreme cases, the traverse-terrain and seek-goal recommendations for v and $\Delta\theta$ are arbitrated by using equal weightings of

unity to obtain the combined final recommendations for the rover speed and steering angle $\{\bar{v}, \Delta\bar{\theta}\}$ that are passed to the rover for execution.

6 Illustrative Example

In this section, a computer graphical simulation study is presented to demonstrate fuzzy-based rover navigation using the traverse-terrain and seek-goal rule sets developed in this paper. The simulations are performed using the Rover Graphical Simulator (RGS) developed at JPL. This simulator is written in Java and is platform-independent, running on both PC and Unix machines. The RGS provides an essential tool for visualization of the rover reasoning and decision-making capabilities using the fuzzy logic navigation rule sets. It depicts a terrain composed of regions with different grades of traversability, together with the initial and goal rover configurations. The rule sets for the two functions, namely, traverse-terrain and seek-goal, are integrated in the RGS. A simple Graphical User Interface (GUI) is provided to issue rover motion commands under the fuzzy navigation rules and display the rover movement graphically.

In this study, there are three impassable regions between the initial and the goal positions of the rover as depicted in Figure 6. The rover is required to drive to the goal configuration while avoiding the three regions. These regions are a crater with POOR Traversability Index (black circle), a high-slope region with POOR Traversability Index (black circle), and an area of high rock density with LOW Traversability Index (brown circle). Each impassable region is surrounded by a user-defined safety zone shown by a yellow circle. The path traversed by the rover under the fuzzy traverse-terrain and seek-goal rule sets is shown by the dotted line in Figure 6. It is seen that the test is successfully completed with the rover reaching the goal safely while avoiding the three impassable terrains.

7 Conclusions

The new concept of Traversability Index is introduced in this paper for mobile robots operating on planetary terrains. Fuzzy logic concepts are used to define the Traversability Index in terms of the physi-

cal properties of the terrain, such as slope and roughness. This index is used to classify planetary terrains according to their suitability for traverse. A set of fuzzy navigation rules based on this concept is developed to guide the robot toward the most traversable terrain. These rules are then integrated with another set of fuzzy rules for goal seeking to obtain an autonomous navigation strategy for a planetary rover.

Fuzzy logic provides a natural framework for formulating and expressing the attributes of the human navigation expertise and for emulating this expertise for planetary mobile robots. The use of linguisic fuzzy sets is simple, intuitive, and akin to the human reasoning and decision-making processes. A novel feature of the proposed approach is the utilization of the *regional* traversability information obtained from the terrain data for rover navigation. This information augments the *local* information obtained from en-route obstacles to provide a comprehensive approach for autonomous rover navigation that requires no *a priori* knowledge about the environment. Future research is focused on implementation and verification of the proposed approach on a commercial mobile robot.

8 Acknowlegdements

9 References

1. A. Saffiotti: "The uses of fuzzy logic in autonomous robot navigation", Journal of Soft Computing, vol. 1, no. 4, 1997.

2. R. A. Hogle and P. P. Bonissone: "A fuzzy algorithm for path selection in autonomous vehicle navigation", Proc. IEEE Conf. on Decision and Control (CDC), pp. 898-900, Las Vegas, 1994.

3. R. N. Lea, Y. Jani, M. G. Murphy, and M. Togai: "Design and performance of a fuzzy logic based vehicle controller for autonomous collision avoidance", Proc. Fuzzy Neural Systems and Vehicle Applications Conf., Tokyo (Japan), 1991.

4. J. W. Baxter and J. R. Bumby: "Fuzzy logic guidance and obstacle avoidance algorithms for autonomous vehicle control", Proc. IFAC Workshop on Intelligent Autonomous Vehicles, pp. 257-262, Southampton (England), 1993.

5. W. Li: "Fuzzy logic-based perception-action behavior control of a mobile robot in uncertain environments", Proc. IEEE Intern. Conf. on Fuzzy Systems, pp. 1626-1631, Orlando, 1994.

6. W. Blochl: "Fuzzy control in real-time for vision guided autonomous mobile robots", Proc. Austrian Conf. on Fuzzy Logic in AI, pp. 114-125, 1993.

7. S. G. Goodridge, M. G. Kay, and R. C. Luo: "Multi-layered fuzzy behavior fusion for reactive control of an autonomous mobile robot", Proc. IEEE Intern. Conf. on Fuzzy Systems, pp. 579-584, Barcelona (Spain), 1997.

8. M. Skubic, S. Graves, and J. Mollenhauer: "Design of a two-level fuzzy controller for a reactive miniature mobile robot", Proc. IEEE Intern. Conf. on Industrial Fuzzy Control and Intelligent Systems, pp. 224-227, Houston, 1993.

9. P. Reignier: "Fuzzy logic techniques for mobile robot obstacle avoidance", Journal of Robotics and Autonomous Systems, pp. 143-153, 1994.

10. H. R. Beom and H. S. Cho: "A sensor-based obstacle avoidance controller for a mobile robot using fuzzy logic and neural network", Proc. Conf. on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), pp. 1470-1475, 1992.

11. E. W. Tunstel, Jr.: "Adaptive Hierarchy of Distributed Fuzzy Control", Ph. D. Thesis, University of New Mexico, 1996.

12. D. B. Gennery: "Traversability analysis and path planning for a planetary rover", Submitted to Journal of Autonomous Robots, 1998.

13. K. M. Passino and S. Yurkovich: "Fuzzy Control", Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1997.

Figure 1. Photograph of Martian terrain

Figure 2a. Membership functions for terrain slope α

Figure 2b. Membership functions for terrain roughness β

rock concentration ω

| rock size δ	low	high
small	smooth	bumpy
large	rough	rocky

Table 1. Rule set for terrain roughness β

Figure 2c. Membership functions for Traversability Index τ

terrain roughness β

terrain slope α	smooth	bumpy	rough	rocky
low	high	medium	low	poor
medium	medium	medium	low	poor
high	low	low	poor	poor
very high	poor	poor	poor	poor

Table 2. Rule set for Traversability Index τ

Figure 3a. Membership functions for steering angle change $\Delta\theta$

Figure 3b. Membership functions for speed v

Figure 4a. Membership functions for heading error ϕ


Figure 4b. Membership functions for position error d

Figure 5. Two-layer rover navigation architecture

Figure 6. Simulation of fuzzy navigation rules